

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/074,610	02/13/2002	Robert Osann JR.	7407	
75	90 11/30/2005		EXAMINER	
ROBERT OSANN, JR.			GANTT, ALAN T	
10494 Ann Arbor Ave. Cupertino, CA 95014			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			2684	
		DATE MAILED: 11/30/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/074,610	OSANN, ROBERT		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Alan T. Gantt	2684		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS INSTRUCTION OF THE MAILING DANS	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	I.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Au	<u>ugust 2005</u> .			
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-7,12,17-25 and 51 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 17-25 is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-7,12,51 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Applicati	on Papers	•			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioric application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage		
Attachmen	t(s)				
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (	(PTO-413)		
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 8/22/05 have been fully considered. Applicant has incorporated material previously indicated as allowable into his dependent claims. However, in re-searching the class/subclasses, new prior art has surfaced that meets applicant's newly added claim limitations for claims 51 and also, meets limitations of dependent claim 12, which was previously indicated as allowable. Thus, the current Office Action is a Non-Final action. Further, the rejection of claim 1 is revised since, as applicant pointed out, the messages of the Gusler reference were pre-recorded and not reconstructed at the keypad.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7, 12, and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gusler, in view of Byers.

Regarding claim 1, Gusler discloses an apparatus and method for delayed answering of mobile telephones. Thus, Gusler discloses a method for answering an incoming call made to a mobile phone, comprising:

optionally manually activating, by the receiving party, a special courtesy mode feature on the phone; (paragraphs 0022 and 0023 - the called party presses a button)

٠.

playing a courtesy message to the calling party, indicating in effect that the receiving party will take their call shortly and/or that they should wait while the receiving party relocates in order to be able to better receive their call; (paragraph 0023)

having the receiving party complete their current activity and/or relocating the receiving party to a location where they are better able to receive the call and have a phone conversation with less disturbance to others around them; (paragraph 0021)

activating the phone, by the receiving party, to initiate the conversation.

(paragraph 0022 - activated upon pressing a button)

Gusler does not allow for synthesizing a message according to a series of button presses made at receiving party's mobile phone.

Byers discloses a courtesy alerting feature for mobile electronic devices where if a user wants to answer a call but cannot do so immediately, the user can activate the courtesy alerting feature that includes pressing a key or sequence of keys. Byers meets the limitation:

wherein the courtesy message is synthesized according to a series of button pressing made by the receiving party on the mobile phone. (paragraphs 0020, 0021, 0023-0024, and 0026 – pressing the courtesy alert button followed by a keypad entry from 1-9 will yield a courtesy message that includes a time to pickup by the receiving party. The keypad button press will insert a time period verbally synthesized into the message)

Gusler and Byers are combinable because they share a common endeavor, namely mobile telephones that include an answer feature. At the time of the applicant's invention it would have

been obvious to modify Gusler to include meets to dynamically customize a courtesy message as done by Byers to make the message appropriate to the individual instant call.

Regarding claim 2, Gusler meets the limitation - The method of claim 1 further including the step of: observing the Caller ID display, by the receiving party, to determine whether or not to accept the call. (paragraphs 0024 and 0025)

Regarding claim 3, Gusler meets the limitation - The method of claim 1 further including the step of: placing the calling party on hold while the receiving party completes their current activity and/or relocates, the calling party remaining on hold until the receiving party activates said mobile phone to initiate the conversation or until said courtesy mode is otherwise terminated. (paragraphs 0021-0023)

Regarding claim 4, Gusler meets the limitation - The method of claim 3 further including the step of: removing the calling party from hold and sending them to voicemail, executed by the receiving party at the receiving party's discretion. (Figure 4 and paragraph 0062)

Regarding claim 5, Gusler meets the limitation - The method of claim 3 further including the step of: removing the calling party from hold and sending them to voicemail, executed automatically after a pre-determined time-out period has elapsed since said courtesy mode was initiated, without the receiving party having activated the phone to initiate a conversation. (Figure 4 and paragraph 0062)

Regarding claim 6, Gusler meets the limitation - The method of claim 1 further including: answering the call in the normal manner from the perspective of the mobile service provider; playing said courtesy message, provided from within said mobile phone, to the calling party; putting the calling party on hold, performed at said mobile phone. (paragraph 0050)

Regarding claim 7, Gusler meets the limitation - The method of claim 1 further including: signaling the mobile service provider from said mobile phone that the receiving party has activated courtesy mode; playing said courtesy message to the calling party, performed by the mobile service provider; placing the calling party on hold, performed by the mobile service provider; signaling the mobile service provider from said mobile phone that the receiving party desires to initiate the conversation; (paragraphs 0052 and 0056)

Regarding claim 12, Byers meets the limitation - The method of claim 1 further including: including in said courtesy message an approximate waiting time the caller will have to wait until the receiving party will have to initiate the conversation, said waiting time determined by said sequence of button presses (paragraphs 0020, 0021, 0023-0024, and 0026 – pressing the courtesy alert button followed by a keypad entry from 1-9 will yield a courtesy message that includes a time to pickup by the receiving party. The keypad button press will insert a time period verbally synthesized into the message).

Regarding claim 51, a method for answering an incoming call made to a mobile phone, comprising:

optionally manually activating, by the feature on the phone; (paragraphs 0022 and 0023 - the called party presses a button)

playing a courtesy message to the calling party, indicating in effect that the receiving party will take their call shortly and/or that they should wait while the receiving party relocates in order to be able to better receive their call; (paragraph 0023)

having the receiving party complete their current activity and/or relocating the receiving party to a location where they are better able to receive the call and have a phone conversation with less disturbance to others around them; (paragraph 0021)

activating the phone, by the receiving party, to initiate the conversation; (paragraph 0022 – activated upon pressing a button)

Gusler does not allow for synthesizing a message according to a series of button presses made at receiving party's mobile phone that includes an approximate wait time.

Byers discloses a courtesy alerting feature for mobile electronic devices where if a user wants to answer a call but cannot do so immediately, the user can activate the courtesy alerting feature that includes pressing a key or sequence of keys. Byers meets the limitation:

synthesizing said courtesy message according to a sequence of button presses receiving party, a special courtesy mode made by the receiving party on said mobile phone; (paragraphs 0020, 0021, 0023-0024, and 0026 –

pressing the courtesy alert button followed by a keypad entry from 1-9 will yield a courtesy message that includes a time to pickup by the receiving party. The keypad button press will insert a time period verbally synthesized into the message)

including in said courtesy message an approximate waiting time the caller will have to wait until the receiving party will initiate the conversation, said waiting time determined by said sequence of button presses (paragraphs 0020, 0021, 0023-0024, and 0026 – pressing the courtesy alert button followed by a keypad entry from 1-9 will yield a courtesy message that includes a time to pickup by the receiving party. The keypad button press will insert a time period verbally synthesized into the message).

Gusler and Byers are combinable because they share a common endeavor, namely mobile telephones that include an answer feature. At the time of the applicant's invention it would have been obvious to modify Gusler to include meets to dynamically customize a courtesy message as done by Byers to make the message appropriate to the individual instant call.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 17-25 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claim 21, the pressing of one or two function buttons on a mobile telephone that initiates an action that is influenced by a previously entered sequence of numeric button presses that starts either a courtesy mode message or voicemail was neither found, suggested, nor made evident by the prior art.

Regarding claim 17, the entering of a sequence of numerical button presses of a mobile telephone prior to selection of a call alert feature that includes a period of waiting time indicated in a courtesy mode message was neither found, suggested, nor made evident by the prior art.

Regarding claim 19, the entering of a sequence of numerical button presses of a mobile telephone prior to selection of a call alert feature that includes a period of waiting time indicated in a courtesy mode message or voicemail where said function key initiates a voicemail greeting feature on said mobile phone where a message is played to the calling party indicating in effect that the receiving party will call them back after a specific period of time, followed by sending them to voicemail, said period of time defined by said previously entered sequence of numerical button presses was neither found, suggested, nor made evident by the prior art.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be addressed to Alan Gantt at telephone number (571) 272-7878. The examiner can normally be reached between 9:30 AM and 6 PM within the Eastern Time Zone. The group FAX number is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to this application should be directed to Supervisory Patent Examiner Nay Maung at telephone number (571) 272-7882.

Alan T. Gantt

November 7, 2005

alan I. Dantt

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER